### Chapter 8 Cost Estimating and Budgeting

Project Management for Business, Engineering, and Technology

Prepared by John Nicholas, Ph.D. Loyola University Chicago



### Cost Overruns on Projects



Projects versus Percent Cost Overrun (Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., & Rothengatter, W., *Megaprojects and Risk: An Anatomy of Ambition.* Cambridge:Cambridge University Press, 2003, p. 17. With permission.)

### Sources of Cost Escalation and Overruns

- Uncertainty and Lack of Accurate Information
- Changes in Requirements or Design
- Economic and Social Factors
- Inefficiency, Poor Communication, and Lack of Control
- Ego Involvement of the Estimator
- Project Contract
- Bias and Ambition

### Cost Estimating and the Systems Development Life Cycle



### System Life Cycle Costs

### Life cycle costs (LCC)

- All costs of a system throughout its full cradle-to-grave life cycle, i.e.:
- all costs incurred during the *project* life cycle phases of Definition and Execution
- PLUS all costs associated with the Operations phase of the system and the eventual disposal of the system

### System Life Cycle Costs

### Purpose of life cycle cost analysis

- To anticipate the realities of operating, maintaining, and (ultimately) disposing of the end-item system
- To establish target costs for operating, maintaining, and disposing of the enditem system.
- To design the system so it will meet those target costs.

### Estimate versus Target or Goal

- Estimate: a *realistic assessment* based upon known facts about the work, required resources, constraints, and the environment, derived from estimating methods
- Target or goal: a desired outcome, commitment, or promise.
- Don't confuse estimates with goals. The estimating process is directed at producing good estimates, not restating targets or goals.

### Accuracy versus Precision

- Accuracy: the closeness of the estimated value to the actual value
- Precision: the number of decimal places in the estimate.
- Accuracy of estimates is more important than precision

### **Estimating Methods**

- 1. Expert opinion
- 2. Analogy + compensation for differences
- 3. Parametric: Formula or Cost Function, e.g.,

Cost, engine A = (Cost, engine B) 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Thrust, engine A}}{\text{Thrust, engine B}}\right)^{0.7}$$

Cost, cabling = 150 (total area + 10%) +  $300\sqrt{(number of rooms)}$ + 125 (number of floors)

### Estimating Methods (cont'd)

#### 4. Cost engineering

Detailed cost breakdown of labor, materials, etc. at the work package or task level. Example below Schedule showing hours allocated to work packages by labor grade.



HOURS BY LABOR GRADE NONLABOR COSTS WORK PACKAGE MATERIAL 1 EQUIPMENT 2 3 **SUBCONTRACTS** OTHER A \$ 500 30 В 20 60 \$1,000 С 40 500 \$ 500 D 105 30 500 E 60 \$4,500 F 40 60 8,000 1,000 5,000 500 G 100 40 1,500 500 Η 70 40 1,000 1,500 Total 305 350 100 \$10,000 \$4,000 \$9,500 \$3,000 Labor Grade Hours x Labor Rate x (100% + Overhead Rate) = Labor Cost Total = \$26,500305 (\$20)(100% + 90%) = \$11,590 350 (\$25)(100% + 100%) = \$17,500 3 100 (\$40)(100% + 120%) = <u>\$8,800</u> \$37.890 Preliminary Estimate = Labor Cost + Nonlabor Costs = \$37,890 + \$26,500 = \$64,390 Final Estimate = Preliminary + G/A Rate = \$64,390 (100% + 10%) = \$70,829 Budget = Final Estimate + Contingency (Reserve) = \$70,829 + \$7,100 = \$77.929

Labor Hours and Nonlabor Costs.

- Any of these methods can be used in any area of project
- Parametric and cost engineering methods are the best

- Rule of Thumb:
  - The smaller the work packages or portion of the end-item estimated, the better the estimate



Procedure for larger projects, steps 1-3

- 1. PM: Uses WBS to identify work packages
- 2. FM: Subdivide work packages into identifiable tasks; determine labor, material, facilities, and resources requirements for each
- Supervisors/team leads: Estimate number of labor hours and quantities of materials needed







- ESUMALES
  - 5. FM: convert time estimates into costs
  - 6. PM: checks over and approves all estimates aggregates costs; added in overhead costs:

Project cost =  $\sum$ direct costs +  $\sum$  overhead costs





- Base estimate = Σ (WP estimates + WP contingency) (to handle "known-unknowns")
- Final estimate = Base estimate + overheads + project contingency (to handle "unknown unknowns"; PM controls this)
- 8. PM: Compares bottom-up estimates to top-down targets or goals. Attempt to reconcile differences.

Estimates can be made at any level

- project
- work package
- task

# Project Budget

- Specific for each project
  - Not a fiscal budget.
- Subdivided into Control Accounts, one for each work package
- Each cost account is a portion of the project total budget
- Rosebud Example

# Elements of Typical Budget

I. Direct Costs Direct Labor (DL)	
Charges for labor working directly on project	50,000.00
<b>Direct Overhead on Labor (% of DL)</b> E.g., 40% Labor support: benefits, etc.	20,000.00
Direct Nonlabor and Materials (M) Subcontractors, consultants, travel, telephone, materials, purchased parts, etc.	10,000.00
Direct Overhead on Nonlabor and Materials (% of M) Shipping, insurance, security, etc. E.g., 33.33%	3,333.33
Direct Total	83,333.33
II. General & Administrative (% of Direct Total) E.g., 20% (Indirect overhead)	
Corporate overhead: proposals, publicity, president, etc.	16,667.00
Budget Amount	100,000.00



## Project Budget

- The best project budgets are time-phased to allow cost tracking vs. time
- Example

Note: Start-Finish dates shown in weeks



Budget for programming department for Work Package L.

# Project Cost Accounting System

- Enables budget information be aggregated or disaggregated according to work packages or functional areas
- Example



Aggregation of cost account information by project and organization.

Project Cost Accounting System; Cost Monitoring

Weekly Expense and Cumulative Expense Profiles.

- Created from work package budgets and the project schedule
- Assume expenses occur uniformly throughout work package duration
- Example

Project Cost Accounting System; Cost Monitoring

- Weekly expense profile
  - Analogous to resource loading profile
- Example

#### Rosebud Project

#### Compute uniform per-week cost (analogous to weekly resource requirement)

For each work package, total budget, time, and average per-week expense (assume uniform expenditure through time period)

Work Package	Budget \$	Time (wks)	Per-week \$
Project mgt	\$12,550	24	\$523
J	\$31,362	6	\$5,227
М	\$138,571	4	\$34,643
V	\$20,945	6	\$3,491
Y	\$20,352	5	\$4,070
L	\$21,272	2	\$10,636
Q	\$100,846	8	\$12,606
W	\$4,235	1	\$4,235
Х	\$6,622	1	\$6,622
Andre a second	\$356,755		

**Rosebud Project** 



Plot showing expected per work expenses based on scheduled activities and per-week costs of each.



# Project Cost Accounting System; Cost Monitoring

- Cumulative expense profile shows the Budgeted Cost of the Work Scheduled (BCWS), which is the expected expenditure growth throughout the project
- Example

**Rosebud Project** 



# Project Cost Accounting System;

## Cost Monitoring

- Planning and Control
  - The weekly and cumulative expense profiles are used to adjust schedules to accommodate cashflow and working capital constraints
  - Example





Project Cost Accounting System;

- Cost Monitoring
- Planning and Control
  - During project execution actual expenses are tracked against BCWS
  - Example

**Rosebud Project** 

